



Leadership Council of Aging Organizations

Feb 4, 2008

File code CMS-2237-IFC

Kerry Weems
Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
200 Independence Avenue SW
HHH Building Room 445-G
Washington DC 20201

Dear Administrator Weems:

The undersigned members of the Leadership Council of Aging Organizations (LCAO) respectfully request that the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) withdraw the interim final rule (“IFR”) to implement section 6052 of the Deficit Reduction Act. The rule, as written, exceeds the original scope and intent of the statute and will have a dramatically negative impact on frail older people who receive Medicaid long term supports and services through a variety of Medicaid home and community based services waivers.

The rule undermines a key component of successful waiver programs that have been implemented in states across the country – case management. Case management is the lynchpin that coordinates and integrates services for people, often who are enrolled in multiple waiver programs, and allows frail older people to receive services at home and prevent or delay institutional placement. Delivering services to people in their homes and communities is cost effective and allows states to serve more people at a lower overall cost to the Medicaid program.

Arranging for long term care in the community is a complex process that requires a wide array of supports and services. This is particularly true for individuals who are transitioning out of an institution and into a community setting. By significantly reducing the amount of time case managers would have to spend developing and putting in place a viable plan for care in the community, the IFR will impede state efforts to end the institutional bias in Medicaid.

It is contrary to a number of programs already implemented by the Administration, such as Money Follows the Person and Aging and Disability Resource Centers, which provide states with the tools necessary to serve frail older people in their homes and communities. In many cases, states would have to completely revamp their existing programs in order to adhere to the rules set forth in this IFR.

We urge the Administration to reaffirm its commitment to providing long term supports and services to vulnerable populations and encouraging individuals to remain independent for as long as possible by withdrawing this IFR.

Sincerely,

AFSCME Retirees
Alliance for Retired Americans
American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
American Federation of Teachers Program on Retirement and Retirees
American Postal Workers Union Retirees
American Society of Consultant Pharmacists
American Society on Aging
Association of Jewish Aging Services of North America
B'nai B'rith International
Catholic Health Association
Easter Seals
Families USA
Gray Panthers
Military Officers Association of America
National Association of Area Agencies on Aging
National Association of Elder Law Attorneys
National Association of State Long-Term Care Ombudsman Programs
National Association of State Units on Aging
National Citizens Coalition for Nursing Home Reform
National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare
National Council on Aging
National Indian Council on Aging
National Senior Citizens' Law Center
OWL-The Voice of Midlife and Older Women
Service Employees International Union